Results – Abridged

**Sentinel presence:** 25 vids, 13 obs in comm, 20 in green (small af sample size)

19 obs w/ sent 14 obs w/ no sent

G.Env did not affect sent pres

* makes sense since all still in urb env. So potentially higher energetic levels. Further research required across gradient of urbanization from natural to urban.
* Could be small sample size, making effect impossible to see. Needs more data

Group size did not affect sent pres

* Contrary to literature
* More inds in group = less ind contribution to sent BUT more overall sent
* Small sample size and gaps in the distribution of data (many at zero, some in the middle, few were high)

Dist freq did not affect sent pres

* Contrary to literature, risk did not increase the likelihood of a sentinel being present.
* Small sample size
* When dist too high, all group members left

Overall point to make: Cannot make any inferences about sentinel likelihood based on our results due to small sample size.

**Allocation of time to each behav:**

Similar proportion of time allocated to each behaviour, no effect of sentinel or g.env on proportion of time.

* Could be that the proportion of time remains largely fixed, and variations in the behaviours occur at the bout level (how often and for how long).
* Makes sense to remain constant since the needs remain relatively constant
* Would be interesting if these proportions change if comparing with “natural” populations outside of urban areas (less energy, more need for foraging)

Discussion organization:

Subheadings to separate topics

First paragraph should be main points of interest (big findings, if present)

Have a separate section for “limitations” where you can put all the (repeated) limitations of the study design